UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * Case No. 2:19-cv-01457-RFB-NJK JONATHAN GOLDMAN, Plaintiff. **ORDER** v. FORD, et al., Defendants.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 3] of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered August 22, 2019.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by September 5, 2019. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

. . .

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Amended Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 3] is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice to Plaintiff seeking appropriate relief through the state court processes, such as through the filing of an appeal, or through the filing of a writ of habeas corpus at an appropriate juncture. The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff. DATED: October 18, 2019. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II **United States District Judge**